# **Loscoe C of E Primary School and Nursery**

# **Pupil Premium Strategy Statement 2020-21**



### **Reviewed September 2021**

1. Summary information							
School	Loscoe C	Loscoe C of E Primary School and Nursery					
Academic Year 1	2020-21	Total PP budget	£73,145	Date of most recent PP Review	Dec 2020		
Total number of pupils	196	Number of pupils eligible for PP	43	Date for next PP Strategy Review	Apr 2021 Jul 2021		

2a. Current attainment at the end of KS2 (2020) based on teacher predictions due to Covid-19					
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils eligible for PP (NA 2019)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average 2019)		
% achieving expected standard in reading, writing & maths	63%	51%	65%		
% achieving the national standard in reading	88%	62%	78%		
% achieving the national standard in writing	88%	68%	83%		
% achieving the national standard in mathematics	63%	67%	84%		

% acl	nieving the national standard in GaPS	63%	63%	81%		
21	o. Current attainment at the end of KS1 (2020) based on teacher pred	lictions due to Co	ovid-19			
		Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils eligible for PP (NA 2019)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average 2019)		
% acl	nieving the national standard in reading	56%	62%	75%		
% acl	nieving the national standard in writing	56%	55%	69%		
% acl	% achieving the national standard in mathematics 56% 62% 76%					
	The number of disadvantaged pupils attaining the expected standard in reading is sign KS1 (national tests).	nificantly below the at	tainment of non-d	lisadvantaged children by the end of		
В.	Low self-esteem and confidence. Many pupils have negative views of themselves and and aspirations.	l their abilities. Raisiոզ	g confidence and	self-belief is key to raising standards		
C. Narrowing the gap in Maths and GaPS outcomes for disadvantaged KS2 pupils remains a priority when compared to the national average of non-disadvantaged children from the data shown in 2019.						
D.	Disadvantaged pupils generally attain lower in foundation subjects due to limited life experiences including range of books and programmes watched (documentaries etc). Well planned curriculum to include a range of experiences through exciting opportunities inside and outside school.					

Exter	nal barriers	
E.	Low attendance and lateness – particularly with a few pupils within school who const key at improving pupils attendance and punctuality.	antly miss days and come in late. The appointment of an attendance officer is
3. Outcomes		Success Criteria
A.	All PP pupils make above average progress in reading- those who struggle to read in KS1 to be part of a reading recovery programme run by an experienced teacher. Cracking Comprehension programme throughout school from EYFS to year 6 to build on prior success. Increased opportunities for reading and comprehension activities in enhanced provision.	To aspire for PP children in KS1 to attain reading scores in line with non-PP peers by the end of the year.
B.	All chn are more confident and empowered, resulting in improved attitude and self-confidence – SLT to attend THRIVE training and cascade training down as a whole school approach. Positive play room to be utilised effectively and targeted interventions used to enhance children's confidence.	Boxall impact of nurture and THRIVE approach. The health and well-being of disadvantaged pupils and their families continues to remain well and for pupils and their parents to manage their emotions well and know that they can access support and help as needed.
C.	Improve the attainment and progress of KS2 disadvantaged pupils in the core areas of maths and GaPS.	To aspire for PP children in KS2 to attain maths and GaPS scores in line with non-PP peers by the end of the year.
D.	All PP pupils to be more involved in class discussion and activities, particularly in foundation subjects.	Pupils engaged in learning and able to remember more and explain their learning and facts. 'Sticky learning' is embedded to ensure this can be built upon in coming years.

E.	Demonstrate improvements in the rates of attendance for disadvantaged pupils, to	Increase attendance and lateness increases to at least 96%.
	be at least in line with national averages.	

# 4. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2020/21

### i. Quality of teaching for all

Focus Group	Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff	When will you review implementation?
A – KS1 - Reading recovery specialist teacher to implement reading intervention s. Implementat ion of cracking comprehens ion throughout school.	Increase the percentage of PP children attaining expected level in reading by the end of KS1 aspiring for PP to attain reading scores in line with the national average of children eligible for PP by the end of the year.	Quality of teaching is one of the biggest drivers of pupil attainment, particularly for those from disadvantaged backgrounds EEF Attainment Gap Report 2017  Recommending scheme by teachers- guaranteed to move children 6 reading bands in a year. The approach appears particular beneficial for children from low income families who are well behind their peers.  Guided Reading (daily) opportunities.	Literacy lead and reading recovery teacher to monitoring data and targets set each half term. Reading data analysed. Assessment scores uploaded onto FFT tracker.  Reading recovery continues to be delivered to.  KS1 to ensure that each disadvantaged child is read with daily. Daily records to be kept and analysed by literacy lead. Book scrutiny/learning walks.  Use of accelerated reader programme to be analysed weekly by Year staff to ensure that pupils have appropriate	LD VL KS ZS	April 2021 July 2021  Data for end of KS1 is:  PP children 60% attainment which is slight improvement on previous year (4% increase), national average for PP is 51%. However below the teacher prediction which was set as a high aspirational target prior to COVID19.  Didn't work as expected due to staffing factors and impact of COVID. Teacher originally doing 4 sessions per week but

Reading books given to PP children to enhance reading at	challenge and move to the next reading level when required.	interrupted. Some of the identified children not in school
home.	Pupil Progress Meetings.	(not key workers), Teacher
Increased quality first teaching	Feedback from parents.	also deployed to cover main
in phonics for KS1 children.	r eedback from parents.	class teacher (long term
in phonics for No remidren.		absence). Program works well
		as a continuous, not quite as
		effective when broken into
		chunks.
		Chunks.
		Non PP children also
		improved on outcomes = 80%
		attainment when compared to
		national average of 73%.
		Investment in reading has
		prompted a whole school
		consistent phonics approach
		(RWI) which will be purchased
		using PP Funding 21/22.
		Accelerated Reader
		subscription was well spent,
		ensuring children were able to
		continue with their reading
		assessments during lockdown
		and staff were able to closely
		monitor and support progress.
		On return to school, children
		were provided with
		opportunities to read daily and
		take regular quizzes and
		assessments on AR. This
		informed staff of children's
		development. As a result,
		children were closely
		monitored and provided with
		quick interventions to keep on
		track to meet ARE.

		The Reading Recovery teacher shared analysis of reading interventions and progress made. Children who took part in the RR program made on average 5 months progress from their starting point. All children made progress (one child made 2.5 years progress from their starting point. It was hoped that all children would make at least 12+ months progress if the full programme had been completed. Therefore, the progress is below what we were expecting, due mainly to the disruption of COVID.
		COVID has had a big impact on the RR program, it became very disjointed at times - most effective when used as a continuous,not quite as effective when broken into chunks.

D 14/1 I		0. "		155	A 11 0004
B - Whole	Ensure that the health and well-	Staff attend Thrive training.	Termly Boxall impact to measure the	RD	April 2021
school	being of disadvantaged pupils and	Thrive Approach states that, "If	impact of positive play and THRIVE	WL	July 2021
THRIVE	their families continues to remain	children have been thrown off	approach.	CLH	This focus did not as shood
approach	well and for pupils and their	track, either temporarily or over		JM	This focus did not go ahead.  A few members of the SLT
training by	parents to manage their emotions	longer periods, Thrive helps us	Pupil questionnaires to show impact on		attended the training (2 of
SLT.	well and know that they can	understand the needs being	confidence, engagement and overall		whom are no longer working in
	access support and help as	signalled by their behaviour and	thoughts of the pupils. Plus parental		school), however after
Positive	needed.	gives us targeted strategies and	feedback.		completion of the training it
play room		activities to help them re-			was deemed not practicable in
set up and	Disadvantaged pupils to	engage."	Evidence in learning walks and drop ins.		terms of financial commitment or time. The original allocation
intervention	demonstrate a 'positive				of funds for teacher training is
s used	disposition' by showing that they	Link activities to PSHE			part of CPD, teachers are
	are happy, safe and secure in	curriculum where appropriate.			equipped to deliver THRIVE in
	school.				the future should school wish
					to pursue this. Some staff
					delivered positive play
					sessions in Nursery 'Bears Room' along with emphasis on
					monitoring pupils within
					classes by own class staff.
					Positive Play to go ahead because of COVID but this was not relevant for THRIVE after training.  School to look at confidence, emotional regulation, communication skills and resilience moving forward in 2021/22.  School to research the ELSA programme to:  develop knowledge and understanding of social and emotional needs; enhance skills with bespoke interventions;
					grow confidence with

					supporting vulnerable children.
C – KS2	Improve the attainment and	Whole school commitment to	Termly assessment using PUMA and	KS	April 2021
	progress of KS2 disadvantaged pupils in core areas of maths and	deliver 'Power Maths' on a daily basis.	GaPS.	ZS JC	July 2021 End of KS2 predictions were
	GaPS, aspiring for outcomes to	Sacio.	Power Maths google drive assessment	FE	63% for PP and 84% for non
	be at least in line with attainment	Pre-teaching to aid	sheets to identify on a daily flowing basis	JM	PP for Numeracy. Figures
	in line with non-PP peers by the	misconceptions for PP children	who needs the extra input.	CLH	were 67% and 88%, an
	end of the year.	to increase pupils confidence		WL	increase for both. However,
		and attainment.	Analysis of progress and attainment at the	LD	progress has not been
		MC days consisting an armount of the s	three assessment points throughout the		accelerated for PP children in
		Widen writing opportunities within the classroom – to include	year plus feedback next steps to SLT.		particular. Again, the impact of COVID has had a direct
		specific GaPS focus.	Lessons observations and marking.		effect on these children.
		Specific Car O locus.	Lessons observations and marking.		Investment for Power Maths
		Analyse the data.	Performance Management objectives and		and Mastery teacher training
		_	reviews.		through Maths Hub for JC and
		Intervention mapping and			FE. Spending that wasn't in
		looking at which groups TAs	Moderation.		the initial plan including White
		should be working with in order			Rose maths to support remote
		to maximise the desired	Pupil Progress Meetings.		learning alongside power
		outcome.			maths. Power Maths

Continue to develop a 'Mastery' approach through Maths Hub - NCETM	resources were able to be uploaded onto Google Classroom to enable teachers to deliver online lessons effectively. PP children were given Chromebooks with Power maths book-marked so that it was easily accessible (those that didn't have ICT) Teachers ensured targeted interventions for PP children during lockdown. Paper packs also provided when requested. Children have been targeted through pre teaching and post teaching to meet any misconceptions but also to enable PP children to feel more confident prior to lesson starting. This is evident because children were able to engage with the lesson more
	engage with the lesson more readily and answer questions.  GAPS - Predicted teacher assessment was 63% for PP children, attainment was 63%, non PP 81% predicted and attained 80%. Although PP is above national average (51%), as a school we are conscious that investment is needed for 21/22 to improve further (introduction of RWI plus specialised interventions with Literacy lead).

D - Whole	Pupils engaged in learning and	Increase enjoyment and	Monitor planning.	KS	April 2021
D – Whole School	Pupils engaged in learning and able to remember more and explain their learning and facts. 'Sticky learning' is embedded to ensure this can be built upon in coming years.	engagement through hands on experiences, delivered through a rich and stimulating curriculum.  Children more engaged and feel knowledgeable via pre teaching	Monitor planning.  Engagement with 2-yr whole school curriculum.  Monitoring knowledge and skills to be taught to ensure that learning is, 'sticky'. Sticky learning added to medium term plans.	KS ZS JC FE JM CLH WL LD	April 2021 July 2021  To provide more hands-on and enriched curriculum, one class within KS2 trialled challenge based learning that mirrored KS1. To roll out fully as a
		and extra experiences.  Opportunities to develop wider curriculum through trips and visits and residentials etc.	Subject leads to monitor subjects.  'Deep Dives' Curriculum Leaders training through DDAT SSIO.		whole school initiative for 21/22. This will enable PP children to experience different ways of learning through a much more stimulating curriculum. Trips and experiences (such as visitors into school) were extremely limited due to the impact of COVID19. Towards the end of the academic year, a whole school trip was organised to Chatsworth to focus on Art and DT. PP children were subsidised to enable full participation. The impact of this was that children were able to relate better to real-life experiences and could bring these back into school to enable them to produce work that was relevant to them.

E- Whole	Improve attendance and lateness,	Demonstrate improvements in		CLH	April 2021
School	increases to at least 96%.	the rates of attendance for	Data Analysis.	RR	July 2021
SCHOOL		disadvantaged pupils, to be at	,	SB	Attendance for PP children
		least in line with national	Meetings between CLH and SBM		Sep 20 - Jul 21 was
		averages.			95.15%,Non PP children was
			Staff Feedback		96.98%. COVID19 has had a
		All PP pupils to be in school			small impact on the
		96%+ and lateness			attendance percentages.
		reduced/eradicated.			Previous year attendance
					figures were: PP = 90.76 and
		Families and pupils will be given			Non PP was 95.91. Although
		support as required by SLT.			recent figures have improved,
					they could be better.
		Dedicated time for Office Staff to			
		monitor attendance and follow			Monitoring and reporting of
		up calls.			absence and lateness is now
					better. Procedures have been
					adapted to challenge families
					more quickly. Families that
					were absent have been
					supported by SLT to help
					improve. This includes the
					offer to families of breakfast
					and after school clubs to
					support getting to school
					earlier if needed. This is being
					monitored for the take up and
					improvement on attendance
					percentages.
					School have invested in P4YP
					(Positive for Young People) to
					help with improvement in
					attendance in addition to other
					issues that families may have.
					This will continue for 2021/22.
					It is hoped with this added
					intervention, particularly for
					attendance/lateness issues,

		children will be in school on time promptly and percentage data increases.